Searching for Causal Relationships

Richard Scheines Carnegie Mellon University

Outline

- 1) Philosophical Foundations
- 2) Methods \rightarrow Causality
- 3) Search
- 4) Regression vs. Causal Search
- 5) Example Genetic Regulatory Network Discovery

1) Counterfactual Theories

A caused B:

If A had not occurred, then

B would not have occurred



David Hume



David Lewis

Similarity Metric over Possible Worlds

Vague: If John had not had tar stained fingers, then he would not have gotten lung cancer

2) Probabilistic Theories

A is a cause of B iff

- A is temporally prior to B, and
- P(B | A) > P(B), and
- No event C prior to A that screens off
 A and B (i.e., A _||_ B | C)



Pat Suppes

Some definitions from the editor: iff = if and only if P(B | A) = probability of B given A $A _ ||_ B | C = no event C that makes B and A irrelevant to each other$

- 3) Intervention Theories
- X is a cause of Y iff
- There are x1 ≠ x2 s.t.
 P(Y | do(X=x1)) ≠ P(Y | do(X=x2))





Jim Woodward

Judea Pearl

P(Lung Cancer | (Smoking=0)) ≠ P(Y | (Smoking=heavy))

P(Lung Cancer | (Tar Stains=0)) ≠ P(Y | (Tar Stains=heavy))

 \neq = not equal to s.t. = such that P(Y | do(X=x1)) = probability of Y given that the value of X is x1

- 3) Intervention Theories
- X is a cause of Y iff
- There are x1 ≠ x2 s.t.
 P(Y | do(X=x1)) ≠ P(Y | do(X=x2))





Jim Woodward

Judea Pearl

P(Lung Cancer | (Smoking=0)) ≠ P(Y | (Smoking=heavy)) P(Lung Cancer | do(Smoking=0)) ≠ P(Y | do(Smoking=heavy)) Smoking → Lung Cancer

P(Lung Cancer | (Tar Stains=0)) ≠ P(Y | (Tar Stains=heavy)) P(Lung Cancer | do(Tar Stains=0)) = P(Y | do(Tar Stains=heavy)) Tar Stains → Lung Cancer

- 3) Intervention Theories
- X is a cause of Y iff
- There are x1 ≠ x2 s.t.
 P(Y | do(X=x1)) ≠ P(Y | do(X=x2))





Jim Woodward

Judea Pearl

Do is tricky for variables like gender, age, race etc.

 $P(Wealthy | Race = white)) \neq P(Wealthy | do(Race = white), Race = black)$

- 4) Potential Outcomes
- P(Y | had we done(X=x1), did(X=x2))
- P(Y | had we done(X=x1), X=x2)
- Etc.



Jerzey Neyman

Don Rubin

Mathematical Representation must include intervention

Predicting Interventions

- P(Y | (X=x1))
- P(Y | do(X=x1))
- P(Y | do(X=x1), X=x, Z = z)

Counterfactuals

• P(Y | had we done(X=x1), did(X=x2), X=x, **Z** = z)



- Human Experiments
- Animal Experiments
- Human Observational Studies
- Mechanistic Modeling
- Search

Human Experiments

- Randomize X=x, do(X=x)
- If $do(X=x) \searrow Y$ then $X \rightarrow Y$
- With randomization, correlation *is* causation

Animal Experiments

- Experimentally determine $X \rightarrow Y$ (in animal model)
- Estimate relevance of animal model to humans

Observational Studies

- Establish Association (X Y)
- Eliminate alternative sources of association:
 - Reverse causation: X Y,
 - Confounding: $X \leftarrow C \rightarrow Y$
 - Sample Selection Bias: X → In sample ← Y

Strategies

- Instrumental Variables
- Measure, model, and statistically control for confounding

Statistical Control *≠* Experimental Control

Question: Does X_1 directly cause X_3 ?

Truth: No, X_2 mediates X_1 X_2 X_3 X_1 X_2 X_3 How to find out?

Experimentally control for X₂

Statistical Control \neq **Experimental Control**



Experimentally control for X₂

 $|X_3 ||_X_1 | do(X_2)$



Statistically control for X₂

 $X_3 \perp X_1 \mid X_2$

Mechanistic Models

- PBPK Models in Toxicology
- Mode of Action Models
- Mechanism of Action Models



Causal Features common to all

members of the output

Model Evaluation



Causal Graphs

e.g., Conditional Independence



X _||_ Z | Y

Model Search



Equivalence Class of Causal Graphs



Conditional Independence

X _||_ Z | Y

Regression vs. Causal Discovery

$X \rightarrow Y$??

Observational Study Strategy:

Measure and control for all confounders Z:

- Prior to X
- Associated with X
- Associated with Y

Regression vs. Causal Discovery



Search Example* Genetic Regulation

Which genes regulate flowering time in *Arabidopsis thaliana*?



* Stekhoven DJ, et al. Causal stability ranking. *Bioinformatics* 28 (2012) 2819-2823.

Observational Data

- n = 47 Arabidopsis thaliana gene expression profiles of 4-day old seedlings for which subsequent flowering time was also measured
- Affymetrix ATH1 arrays with expression measurements on 21,440 *A. thaliana* genes

Causal Network Analysis



Candidate Gene Selection



Candidate Regulators of Flowering Time

- Output: 25 genes
 → flowering time
- 5 of 25: known regulators of flowering
- Among remaining 20 not known to be regulators: 13: mutant seeds available

Experimental Investigation



Experimental Results



Results

- 9 seed types, each with a single gene insertion, that yielded 4 or more plants
- 4 of 9: shorter mean flowering time (p < 0.05) than the control, wild-type plants



Greenhouse experiments on flowering time

NIH BD2K: Center for Causal Discovery

www.ccd.pitt.edu





A short introduction

In the digital age in which we live, scientists are collecting huge amounts of data, and making sense of all of it is a major challenge. Often the task is



2017 CCD Datathon Winners

Center for Causal Discovery Datathon Winners for 2017

The Center for Causal Discovery (CCD) held the second annual datathon at the end of the 2017 Summer Short



Tools

The CCD Causal Software suite offers easy to use software for causal discovery from large and complex biomedical datasets, applying Bayesan and constraint based algorithms. It includes a web application

Driving Biomedical Projects (DBPs)



Discover cell signaling networks in cancer



 Discover the mechanisms of disease onset and progression in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis



 Discover the functional (causal) connectivity of regions of the human brain from fMRI data

NIH BD2K: Center for Causal Discovery www.ccd.pitt.edu





Summary

- Search is important when:
 - Low to moderate background knowledge theory
 - Many variables: astronomically many models

• Algorithms are now well developed, freely available

• Still hard to use intelligently without training

References

Lewis, David (1973). "Causation". The Journal of Philosophy. 70 (17).

Eberhardt, Frederick and Richard Scheines, 2007, "Interventions and Causal

Inference", Philosophy of Science, 74(5): 981–995. doi:10.1086/525638

Pearl, Judea, 2009, Causality, New York: Cambridge University Press

Rubin, Donald B., 1974, "Estimating Causal Effects of Treatments in Randomized and Nonrandomized Studies", *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 66(5): 688–701.

- Sekhon, Jasjeet (2007). <u>"The Neyman–Rubin Model of Causal Inference and Estimation via</u> <u>Matching Methods"</u>(PDF). The Oxford Handbook of Political Methodology.
- Spirtes, P., Glymour, C., Scheines, R. (2000). *Causation, Prediction, and Search*, 2nd Edition. MIT Press.

Stekhoven DJ, et al. Causal stability ranking. *Bioinformatics* 28 (2012) 2819-2823

Suppes, P. (1970) A Probabilistic Theory of Causality, Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing

Woodward, James/Jim, 1997, "Explanation, Invariance, and Intervention", *Philosophy of Science*, 64(supplement): S26–S41

Woodward, James. 2003, *Making Things Happen: A Theory of Causal Explanation*, Oxford: Oxford University Press

Thank You!